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Share erosion persisted for 6 years despite numerous attempts to diagnose and solve the problem.

Conventional wisdom wasn’t working: “I’ve been doing this for 20 years and I know this business”

Initiatives taken in one part of organization were being thwarting by other parts of the organization.

The Fear: A “Death Spiral” would ensue if they lost too much market share -- putting the organization out of business.

The Problem: The client was losing market share despite many attempts to turn it around…
Sample market dynamics: If one bank card association has a greater market share, issuers tend to focus advertising on that company, further increasing market share.

Two reinforcing loops and an example of the ‘success to successful’ archetype.
Sample consumer dynamics: If an individual has recently used a particular card in their wallet they are more likely to use the same card again.

Consumers use the card they have most recently used (because it is at the top of the wallet, to make only one monthly payment, etc.) thereby reinforcing the use of the most recently used card.
Sample consumer dynamics: While advertising and promotions will increase purchases, card usage will slow as credit limits are approached.

An example of ‘limits to success’ archetype

Non-intuitive finding: increase minimum monthly payments and cardholders will use their cards more.
The simulation model design and data was derived from a variety of information and sources.

**Real World Experience**
- First hand experience and intuition of executives and employees
- Similar historical situations in other industries
- Knowledge of operations & procedures

**Quantitative Analyses & Measures**
- Historical data
- Other modeling efforts (often narrower in focus with more detail)
- Indicators and other sources of actual measures

**Qualitative Assessments**
- Industry reports and surveys
- “Diplomatic” considerations and game theory assessments
- Academic studies on the industry

**Understanding of Context / Cultural Factors**
- Regulatory constraints
- For the international work -- country-specific historical and cultural studies
- Social science experts and literature
- First hand local input from employees in Germany, Japan, and the UK

The information was synthesized, cross-checked, and used to iteratively develop and refine a dynamic hypothesis of the underlying structure – the cause and effect relationships that drive behavior over time.
The first simulation model focused largely on our client’s business dynamics.

Many in the business argued the business was simple – this gave them an appreciation of just how complex it really was.
Next the work was expanded to include all major US competitors

And all the ways they competed, for example:

- Terms to customers
- Merchant acceptance locations
- Available credit
- Service quality
- Discount rates to merchants
- Customer solicitations
- Share of advertising dollars
- Cards issued and used
- Value-added services
- Point-of-sale performance
- Etc.
The simulation model was calibrated to a variety of historical data

What kind of data was collected for the competitors?

- Gross $ volume
- Number of cards
- Gross transactions
- Outstanding’s balances
- Gross payments
- Net charge offs, credit losses & fraud losses
- Gross $ cash advances
- # of cash advances
- Total card accounts
- Active card accounts
- % of accounts w/ outstanding balances
- Average outstanding per account with a balance
- Average credit limits
- Delinquencies
- Member assessments / service fees
- Merchant acceptance rates
- Corporate revenues and expenses
- Advertising expenses
- Card company and association profitability
- Acquiring bank revenues, expenses, profitability
- Acquiring bank interchange expense
- Issuing bank revenues, expenses, profitability
- Issuing bank charge offs / losses / fraud
- Advertising spending by brand (issuers and associate/corporate)
- Number and share of total mailings and solicitations
- Response rate to solicitations
- Average cash advance
- Gross $ cash advance
- # of cash advance transactions
- etc.

Sample Model Calibration Plots

- $ Charge Volume Per Year
  - years
  - Key:
    - MasterCard
    - Visa
    - Amex
    - Discover
    (data is dashed)

- Number of Accounts
  - years
The “Business As Usual” simulation showed that without major intervention our client’s share would continue to fall.

US Market Share (all competitors)

- Historical share trend
- “Business as usual” Simulation
- History of failed turnarounds
- Disagreement about what to do
- Conflicting actions by different parts of organization
- Fierce competition
- Economy
- Member Banks
- Customers/Card Holders
- Merchants
- Regulation
- Suppliers
First we tested the initiatives the organization had recently undertaken, or was considering. None of these reversed the loss of share.

We then executed a thorough set of sensitivity tests, varying most of the parameters in the model by plus or minus 25%
Simulation pinpointed sensitivity to different business levers

Key metrics:
- Client market share
- Issuer profits
- Improvement in dollar volume share (share points)
- Cumulative increase in profits over 5 years ($B)

Have more competitive card terms
Reduce merchant chargebacks
Reduce discount rates
Increase advertising spending
Reduce inappropriate declines
Reduce point of sale problems
Increase average time to hold a card
Increase response rates to solicitations
Increases in credit limits
Add on-line validation for credit increases
Increased value-added services
Increased issuer preference

Co-branded ‘affinity cards’ improve four important levers
Our client was first to market with co-branding. Their market share increased by six percentage points.

System Dynamics analysis helped assess the many direct and indirect effects of different strategic choices and quantified the benefits of dozens of possible changes and combinations.
Co-branded cards transformed the US credit card industry. Sample co-branded credit cards
Why System Dynamics was helpful in this case

The model represented the way whole business works:

- Interaction among internal functions
- Competitor actions and reactions
- Economic and demographic impacts

Built on existing work

- Used results of other company models as inputs
- Used a wide range of industry data

Represented cause and effect relationships, employing all forms of information:

- Linkages between parts of the system
- Soft factors such as brand awareness, reputation, etc.
- Decisions rules rather than specific decisions

Quickly simulated how the entire business and market performed under a wide range of “What-if...?” questions:

- Less input-intensive once developed
- Fast simulation time
- Built-in analysis and comparison capability

Provided more robust mid-to-long-term predictive accuracy:

- Dynamic feedback
- Decision rules
- Breadth of model

Helped to build confidence in, and commitment to, change:

- A mechanism for management participation
- Stimulates discussion of strategic issues

System Dynamics helped the client understand the causes of the problem and determine the consequences of alternative courses of action
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Later the work was expand to evaluate international markets

Stage 1:
Understanding Key Client Dynamics

Stage 2:
Analyzing US Market Strategy Options

Stage 3:
Analyzing Strategies in Different Countries (e.g., UK, Germany, Japan)

Client Executive: “We are often investing in initiatives that are thwarted by other actions the organization is pursuing”

Client Executives: “How can we better compete in this market place?”

Client Executives: “What should we be doing differently overseas?”