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Abstract

The Australian Capital Territory (ACT) is increasingly threatened by risks to its water security driven by climate change effects, growing population and water-intensive lifestyle. As an inland territory, the ACT has few supply options which are economically and ecologically expensive. Demand management strategies seek to deliver sustainable consumption patterns. Effective communication is an essential part for achieving resilient reductions in consumption by raising public understanding of the problem in order to inform decision making, stimulate public dialogue and ultimately promote behavioural changes. Whereas System Dynamics is a promising approach for learning and communication about water management, its potential for communicating systemic risks to the public has not been fully exploited yet. This ongoing research aims to build a SD Interactive Learning Environment (ILE) to help residents in the ACT to develop a systemic perspective about water management inherent complexity and uncertainty. This paper describes a structured modelling process adopted to build the model through a series of knowledge elicitation cycles, including interviews with stakeholders and electronic workbooks. A key lesson can be taken from our experience through this modelling effort that the modelling process must be flexible and adaptable with several research and real world trade-offs.
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1 Introduction

Recently, the Australian Capital Territory (ACT) has found its water supply increasingly threatened by prolonged drought, bushfires and increasing consumer demand. In future, risks will escalate as a consequence of climate change effects and continued population growth. Strategies which seek to reduce the per capita demand for water, and ideally to limit total consumption, appear to be obvious ways of achieving water resource sustainability.

Programs which focus on improving the effectiveness in communicating to consumers how water availability is threatened are considered to be an essential part managing demand and ultimately consumption. Effective communication aims to increase public understanding of the problem whilst better informing local decision making and public acceptance of strategies which might be imposed in future to manage scarce water resources. As this problem is inherently complex, effective risk communication is problematic both for those developing management strategies and those who may have such strategies imposed upon them.

Advances in computer modelling and simulation, supported by rapid progress in Information and Communications Technologies (ICT) provide unprecedented opportunities for demonstrating to the public the complex interrelationships between supply and demand for water. System Dynamics (SD) modelling is a promising approach for enabling learning and communication. While SD is often used to analyse the
dynamics of systemic problems and assess policy options, its potential for communicating systemic risks to the public has not been fully exploited.

The ongoing research described in this paper aims to develop a SD-based Interactive Learning Environment (ILE) to help ACT residents develop a systemic perspective of the water management problem and to demonstrate plausible futures they might face. Expected outcomes of this research are an understanding of options for facilitating dialogue among stakeholders, effectively promoting water-wise attitudes and ultimately influencing consumer behaviour.

This paper describes the methodology used to collect, analyse and merge views elicited from stakeholders (i.e. users and managers) and to form them into a conceptual causal feedback representation of the problem. This representation forms the basis for subsequent stages in which quantitative models and computer simulations will be developed. The methodology has five stages. Firstly, a preliminary conceptual model was developed based on the systems thinking (ST) and SD literatures. Secondly, local and expert knowledge was captured by eliciting the perceptions of water users (n=25) and managers (n=10) in the ACT using semi-structured interviews. Cognitive Mapping techniques were used to depict participants’ perceptions. Third, noting the potential pitfalls of doing so, the various views were represented in a single conceptual model. Fourth, an electronic workbook was also used to investigate stakeholders’ perceptions of the causal relationships between selected strongly coupled variables and for obtaining estimates of selected parameters needed for subsequent quantitative modelling activities. Finally, a series of influence diagrams were developed to capture the variables and relationships relevant to SD model building.

The ST/SD modelling used in this research is distinguished by the following: as an action research inquiry and that it seeks to demonstrate the recoverability criterion. That is, whilst it is neither possible to comprehensively validate the research findings nor precisely replicate the research elsewhere, every step in the research journey is traceable. Hence understanding of problem and the manifestations of its complexity are enhanced as are the insights into how to develop and implement effective risk communication strategies.

The paper is organized as follows. Section (2) articulates the problem definition. The adopted modelling process is described in section (3). The last section addresses the conclusion and learning lessons.

2 Problem Definition

The Australian Capital Territory (ACT) lies entirely within the Upper Murrumbidgee River Catchment. Being the largest urban centre in the Murray-Darling Basin, a seat of the Commonwealth Government with a population of 360,000 (Cooper, Tanner et al. 2007), the water security of the ACT is critically important. Over the last two decades the ACT has experienced a rapid decline in its inflows (i.e. 25% below historic average). In 2003, the situation was exacerbated by bushfires unprecedented in recent history. These bushfires burnt the vast majority of the ACT catchments. In year 2006, the ACT has witnessed the lowest inflows in records which were worse than the worst case scenario obtained from rainfall models. In order to meet demand, the region has significantly drawn on the volume of water in storage. Figure 1 shows the decline in catchment inflows (runoff) to drop below consumption in year 2006.
In the ACT, water demand is mainly driven by residential use. As shown in Figure 2, 54% of water demand is used by households. About 44% of this is used outdoors as the culture of English-life style lawns is dominant among many of the residents (Head and Muir 2007). In addition to consumptive use, the ACT is obligated to releasing up to 269 GL as environmental flows necessary for the health of aquatic systems downstream (Government 2004).

Figure 1: The decline in runoff relevant to consumption through years 1980-2008

Figure 2: The distribution of water demand in the ACT (Source: Government, 2004)

In future, the ACT will be faced by growing pressures on the water supply and demand sides, including prolonged droughts, climate change effects and population growth. Historically, rainfall in the Murrumbidgee catchment has shown considerable temporal variability caused by the irregular El Nino events (Government 2004). Under climate change scenario, the intensity and frequency of these dry-wet cycles will significantly increase which may lead to a temporal shift in rainfall patterns. Similar shifts have already been observed in other Australian cities, such as Perth (Marsden and Pickering 2006). Annual rainfall is predicted to be in the range of a 9% decrease to a 2% increase while annual evaporation is predicted to increase by between 1.4% and 9.1% (Government 2004). Whereas irrigation constitutes most of the ACT consumption, demand becomes sensitive to increases in evaporation rate.

Management options may be broadly categorized into: increasing supply or reducing demand. On the supply side, the ACT government continues to investigate a variety of supply options. As an inland territory, options are limited for the ACT to initiate capital and energy intensive projects, such as building a new dam and purchasing cross-borders water. However, the long term sustainability of these solutions is seriously challenged by the evolving climate change, likely ecological damage and economic uncertainty. On the
demand side, the government set targets of 12% reduction in per capita consumption by year 2013 and 25% reductions by year 2023 (Government 2004). A combination of demand management strategies, including price signals and water restrictions are being used. Despite their perceived success is achieving immediate responses (Atwood, Kreutzwiser et al. 2007), economic and regulatory instruments are not sufficient for fostering resilient and voluntary behavioural changes. Communication strategies are essential part for achieving long term reductions (Dietz and Stern 2002). Effective communication programs aim to heighten public understanding of the problem in order to inform decision making, stimulate dialogue among stakeholders and ultimately promote behavioural changes (Renn 1998).

The current communication strategies are “hints and tips” based programs, at which the key message is focused on the daily measures of water saving (e.g. shorter showers campaign). In parallel, users need and have the right to develop a systemic understanding about the problem causes, potential effects and effectiveness of different management options (Hjorth and Bagheri 2006). Communication messages should help users to address the following questions:

1. What factors are responsible for deriving water resource behaviour in the ACT?
2. What are the plausible futures for the ACT water resource?
3. How effective are different supply and demand management options?

3 The adopted Modelling Process

This research follows a structured and transparent modelling process augmented by semantically rich “real world” interviews and cognitive mapping (Eden and Ackermann 1998), analysis of causal structures through an integrated approach using qualitative modelling and quantitative SD modelling and simulation (Mclucas 2001; Mclucas 2003; Mclucas 2005). The methodological roots for this process are grounded in soft operations research and SD literatures with particular emphasis on SODA (Strategic Options Development and Analysis), Cognitive Mapping and SD (Coyle 1996; Sterman 2000). Designed as an action research, we strive for a recoverable research process through which the methodological details and potential outcomes are well-declared to audiences (Checkland 1998).

The modelling process started by designing a preliminary model and cascaded through a series of knowledge elicitation tasks in order to reach a conceptual representation of the problem. This work was done over one year period. The overall adopted modelling process and outcomes are depicted in Figure 3, with chronological order from [Step 1] through [Step 13].

3.1 Preliminary Model Design

As a departure point, a preliminary model was created to articulate the problem based on relevant literature [Step 1]. This model was the basis for structuring the questions used for subsequent data collection [Step 2]. Figure 4 represents the preliminary model.
Figure 3: Overview of the modelling process

Figure 4: The preliminary model developed at the outset of the research project
3.2 Eliciting Local Knowledge

Local knowledge or public perceptions constitute not only a rich and but a legitimate problem representation (Garvin 2001). If users' perceptions provide the basis for their behaviours then their perceptions are critical for water management. In risk communication literature, effective communication interventions are preceded by a deep investigation of the audiences' existing knowledge and beliefs (Morgan, Fischhoff et al. 2002). Therefore, the purpose of this knowledge elicitation task was to capture users’ perceptions about the problem causes, effects and potential mitigation strategies.

A semi-structured interview probed around a set of anchor topics was used to gain an understanding of the extent of participants’ knowledge. The purpose of the in-depth interviews is ideas rather than data collection (Oppenheim 1992). Much of the value of the open ended interviews can be obtained by a relatively small sample, where a sample of 20-30 individuals should reveal most beliefs held by any substantial frequency of the population from which they were selected (Morgan, Fischhoff et al. 2002).

The interviewing process was conducted as two sessions. The main session (45-60 minutes) was used to data collection [Step 3]. Interviews were transcribed and organized into cognitive maps [Step 4]. Figure 5 illustrates an example of a user's cognitive map. A second session (20-30 minutes) was organized to validate the developed maps, refine language ambiguities and ensure consistent terms. Users were invited to give feedback about their cognitive maps which were updated accordingly [Step 5]. A detailed description of this step can be found in (El Sawah et al, 2008). Findings were used to generate more questions in the managers' interviews script [Step 6].

![Figure 5: An illustrative example of a user's cognitive map.](image-url)
3.3 **Eliciting Expert Knowledge**

Expert knowledge has been increasingly recognized as an important input for informing and guiding environmentally related decisions (Fazey, Proust et al. 2006). Through their experience, experts have acquired extensive knowledge about the dynamic complexity of water management and adaptation policies (Fazey, Fazey et al. 2005). At this step, we aim to capture this wealth of knowledge using a semi-structured interviewing process (45-75 minutes) [Step 7]. Ten highly experienced managers were recommended by the water management authority in the ACT for participation in the study. Their expertise covered the main business sectors including: supply, demand, and quality management. Six participants were distinguished for their cross functional knowledge, compared to others whose knowledge was focused on a specific area of expertise. Interviews were transcribed and organized into cognitive maps [Step 8].

Because of the managers' tight schedule, a second validation session could not be organized. Alternatively, an electronic validation template was prepared to summarize the key causal assertions extracted from their maps. Managers were asked to accept/reject relationships and justify their choices. Cognitive maps were updated according to the results of the validation template [Step 9]. Figure 6 illustrates an example of a manager's cognitive map.

---

**Figure 6:** An illustrative example of a user's cognitive map.
3.4 Building a Conceptual Model

The purpose of this step [10] was to create a conceptual model for the problem in order to: (1) model the knowledge and arguments discovered so far, merging the various views so that "synergy" and creativity become possible; and (2) sharpen the authors' understanding about the dynamics of the problem and the appropriate level of details for quantitative model building. In the literature, these representations (known as “cause maps”) are often built in group settings at which different groups can contribute directly to map building by capturing views, negotiating and reaching a consensus (Howick, Eden et al. 2008). Whereas this step was planned in our original methodology outline, these focus groups were not run because of time constraints for the water managers. A process of comparison, aggregation and merging was undertaken by the modellers to create a shared representing without suppressing the inherent diversity *. The conceptual model highlights the perceived gaps and overlays in the perceptions of managers and users. For example, while users believed that investment in building a new dam will automatically lead to additional inflows to the reservoirs, managers challenged this assumption considering other rainfall-independent supply sources as the best strategy to cope with climate change effects. Figure 7 shows the developed conceptual model.

3.5 Developing Influence Diagrams

This stage focused scoping the key variables and causal relationships which were candidates for quantitative modelling. Initially, an electronic workbook was used to identify those elements in the conceptual model on which participants did not agree [Step 11]. The electronic workbook contained 4 sub-models, centred on four decisions (dependent variables) in the conceptual model: water supply, water demand, water quality and total costs. Causal loop diagrams were used to model interrelationships for their ease of communication. Participants were invited to accept/reject or add variables to each sub-module. The analysis of the collected data helped to determine the endogenous/exogenous variables and feedback loops which are candidates for quantitative modelling. Afterwards, a series of influence diagrams, with varying levels of details (Coyle 1996), were developed to depict the problem dynamics. Figure 8 shows an aggregate view influence diagram for the problem.

3.6 SD Model Building

The SD model building is still under progress. The proposed model can be divided into 10 sub-systems that have relatively sparse interactions with the remainder of the model. Figure 9 illustrates the sector boundaries and internal interactions.

* The term conceptual model rather than cause map is used to distinguish the developed representations from maps built in group settings.
Figure 7: A conceptual framework depicting the problem as perceived by water users and managers.
Figure 8: An aggregate influence diagram describing the key variables and relationships deriving the problem behaviour.
Figure 9: A sector boundary diagram of the proposed model
4 Conclusion and Learning Lessons

The goal of this ongoing research is to communicate a "big picture" understanding about the evolving risks of water scarcity in the ACT using a SD based ILE. We follow a transparent modelling process which cascades from rich individual views and cognitive maps to qualitative models to a formal quantitative model. Through this process, stakeholders' knowledge is regarded as a crucial input for effective model building.

This paper reports the knowledge elicitation process used to collect different, understand and merge different views into a conceptual model. First, a preliminary model was developed based on content analysis of relevant literature. This model represented the initial dynamic hypothesis about the problem behaviour. A series of semi-structured interviews were conducted to elicit the perceptions of users and managers. Cognitive mapping technique was used to map the elicited data in terms of causal assertions about the problem. Whereas a second interviewing session was organized to validate users' maps, an electronic template was designed to validate managers' maps because of their tight schedule. The collected data was used to create a conceptual model. Finally, an electronic workbook is used to scope the key variables and interrelationships which are candidates for quantitative modelling. Data collected from the workbooks are used to develop a series of influence diagrams, which will be directly mapped into the SD model.

Early indications are that the research methodology is proving to be highly effective from an analytical viewpoint. Critical factors in achieving the research aims are:

1. Having the resources to engage with a sufficiently large set of stakeholders to elicit their mental models and capture them in cognitive mapping format. These activities are time consuming and labour intensive.
2. Engaging sufficiently with stakeholder groups, both managers and consumer to ensure the knowledge capture processes are comprehensive.
3. As far as it is possible, validating the SD models.
4. Designing the simulations in ways that engage players and realistically test their decision making skills.

These factors are being address as the research proceeds. So far, we obtained two pragmatic lessons from our experience through this modelling effort. Lesson 1: The modelling process must be flexible and adaptable with several research and real world trade-offs. Although managers' input is considered a critical element to the research process, it was very hard to intensively engage them in the research activities (e.g. focus groups). We altered some of the research activities to balance between the results' validity and maintaining a good relationship with out client, such as using e-workbooks and validation templates. Lesson 2: The modelling process may have many by-product outcomes. Knowledge accumulated through the different elicitation cycles illuminated many useful insights for guiding the design of public policies about the attitudes of users towards water conservation policies and the gap between local and experts' perceptions.

Finally, simulations will be comprehensively tested and before being demonstrated and made available for public evaluation in mid-2009. Internet- accessible simulations will be used to gather data about how players adapt to possible future scenarios. Subsequent stages of the research will test the extent to which player learning influences their behaviour as water consumers.
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